

Articles Review

1. The scientific and methodological journal «Bulletin of the Belgorod Institute of Education Development» (hereinafter – «Bulletin of BelIED», Journal) is a peer-reviewed Journal. Scientific, scientific and methodological articles, and scientific reviews are published only if a review is positive.

2. The Executive Secretary is able to refuse to publish the articles in case they:

- do not satisfy the requirements of the Journal;
- are not relevant to the directions of researches mentioned in the Journal;
- are of low scientific level;
- are previously published (or fragments of them) at other platforms for publication;
- are of the high level of plagiarism due to specific techniques helping increase the level of originality artificially.

An executive secretary sent the author(s) an email letter informing the author(s) that the article can't be published.

3. All reviews and scientific articles submitted to the Editorial Board of the Journal undergo a one-way anonymous («blind») review by both members of the Editorial Board and, if necessary, by experts, who are not members of the Editorial Board. All reviewers are qualified specialists in the sphere of peer-reviewed materials and have been publishing the peer-reviewed articles over three years.

4. The reviewer may not be the author or co-author of the peer-reviewed materials.

5. The maximum review period is 30 days from the moment the reviewer receives the manuscript of the article.

6. The review is written in a form approved by the Editorial Board, and signed with the original reviewer signature.

7. During the examination procedure the reviewer can size up: the statement of the scientific problem; the relevance and novelty of the article in terms of solving scientific problems; a review of previously published materials on this issue; the conclusion of the article (if it is reliable and reasonable); the result of the study; methodology of scientific research; theoretical and practical significance of the article.

8. Taking the results of the manuscript review into account, the reviewer gives a reasonable decision:

- whether to publish the article or not;
- to arrange one more review of the article;
- to publish the article after revision;
- to publish the article without any changes.

9. Copies of reviews (without specifying the reviewer) or a reasonable refusal can be sent to the author(s) by the Executive Secretary of the Journal in case the article is not accepted for publication.

10. If in the review there are recommendations to make corrections in the article, the Executive Secretary of the Journal returns the materials (and a copy of the review) to the author (s) advising to make a new version of the article and explaining the aspects to be improved.

In this case, the date receipt is the one when a new version of the article is returned.

11. The article should be completed as soon as possible before the deadline.

The article should be re-examined by the reviewer who made the comments.

12. In case the author (s) has no wish to take the recommendations of reviewers into account, the executive secretary removes the article from the register list and informs the author (s) about it. If the author (s) refuses to finalize the article (s), he (they) should notify the Editorial Board about his refusal to publish the article either in written or oral form.

13. In case of plagiarism in the text, falsification of facts or fabricated research results, the article is rejected. The Executive Secretary informs the author (s) about the denial by e-mail.

14. The final decision to publish the article (s) is made by the Editorial Board even if the review is positive.

15. In case the Editorial Board accept the article, the Executive Secretary informs the author (s) about it by e-mail and indicates the publication period.

16. In case there is a conflict, the final decision is made by the Editor-in-Chief.

17. The original reviews are kept in the editorial office of the Journal for five years since the date the article is published.

18. Copies of reviews are sent to the Ministry of Science and Higher Education of the Russian Federation upon request.

19. The interaction «the author (s) of the article – reviewer – the Editorial Board» is determined by the standards of publication ethics.